

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/767,764	01/29/2004	Max F. Hineman	2269-5925US (03-0290.00/U	5543
24247	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER
TRASK BRITT			QUINTO, KEVIN V	
P.O. BOX 255	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84110		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2826	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			67,764	HINEMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			Quinto	2826				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE Of of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication. utory period will apply a vill, by statute, cause th	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M le application to become	NICATION.  The a reply be timely filed  SOUTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 11 Sentemb	ner 2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			,				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
′==	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	on requirement					
اساره	olamija) are subject to restrict	on and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is re	equired if the drawin	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies o	• •		en received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the o	certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	• • • •		v Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other: _					

Art Unit: 2826

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's arguments with regard to claims 26-33 appear to be based upon the reasoning that Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1) fails to state the exact claim limitation, "partly reversed oxidation injury." The applicant's currently filed specification (p. 6, paragraph 16) appears to define a partly reversed oxidation injury as a process in which "... the hydrogen plasma step heals (e.g., reduces copper oxide to copper) the damage to the copper caused by prior processing, less copper is removed by subsequent wet cleaning, and voids are less likely to form in the copper." The reduction of copper oxide to copper is the same process which Taguchi uses in order to fabricate the damascene structure (column 4, lines 63-65, column 6, lines 44-47); thus Taguchi anticipates the limitation regarding "a partly reversed oxidation injury." Therefore the rejection made in the previous Office action stands.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2826

3. Claim 26, 27, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1).

- 4. In reference to claims 26 and 31, Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1, hereinafter referred to as the "Taguchi" reference) discloses a structure which meets these claims. Figure 1B of Taguchi illustrates a metallic damascene structure which meets claims 26 and 31. Figure 1B of Taguchi illustrates a metallic damascene structure with a substrate (11) and a metallic layer (15) extending over at least a portion of a surface of the substrate (11). The metallic layer (15) includes an at least partially reversed oxidation injury (column 5, lines 15-67 and column 6, lines 1-36). An insulating layer (17) extends over at least a portion of the metallic layer (15). A damascene opening extends through at least a portion of the insulating layer (17) and exposes at least a portion of the metallic layer (15). The at least a portion of the metallic layer (15) exposed through the damascene opening comprises the at least partially reversed oxidation injury (column 5, lines 15-67 and column 6, lines 1-36) of the metallic layer (15). There is a metallic plug (26) in the damascene opening and it is in electrical connection with the metallic layer (15).
- 5. With regard to claims 27 and 32, Taguchi discloses that the metallic damascene structure of figure 1B is to be used in an electronic device (abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2826

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1) in view of Kitani (USPN 6,424,042 B1) and further in view of Oashi et al. (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0030215 A1).
- 8. With regard to claim 28, Taguchi does not disclose the use of the damascene structure in a memory device. However the use of damascene structures in memory devices is well known in the art. Kitani (USPN 6,424,042 B1) discloses that using damascene structures in memory devices has the benefit of providing an increased operation speed (column 1, lines 14-19). Oashi et al. (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0030215 A1, hereinafter referred to as the "Oashi" reference) discloses that a faster operation speed is a known goal in the art (p.1, paragraph 5). In view of Kitani and Oashi, it would therefore be obvious to implement the damascene structure of Taguchi in a memory device.
- 9. Claims 29, 30, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (USPN 6,885,080 B2) in view of Oashi et al. (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0030215 A1) and further in view of Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1).
- 10. In reference to claims 29, 30, and 33, Chen et al. (USPN 6,885,080 B2, hereinafter referred to as the "Chen" reference) discloses an electronic device with a microprocessor and an embedded dynamic random access memory (DRAM) or

Art Unit: 2826

integrated circuit coupled to it on the same substrate (column 1, lines 12-16). Chen does not disclose the use of damascene structures for DRAM. However the use of damascene structures in a DRAM is well known in the art. Oashi (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0030215 A1, hereinafter referred to as the "Oashi" reference) discloses a DRAM with damascene structures in figure 22. Oashi discloses that such a DRAM has a small size (p.1, paragraph 22) which is desirable in the art (p.2, paragraph 7). In view of Oashi, it would therefore be obvious to implement a DRAM with damascene structures in the electronic device of Chen. Neither Oashi nor Chen discloses the use of a metallic damascene structure with a partially reversed oxidation injury in a metallic layer. However the use of such a structure is known in the art. Taguchi et al. (USPN 6,645,852 B1) discloses a metallic damascene structure. Figure 1B of Taguchi illustrates a metallic damascene structure with a substrate (11) and a metallic layer (15) extending over at least a portion of a surface of the substrate (11). The metallic layer (15) includes an at least partially reversed oxidation injury (column 5, lines 15-67 and column 6, lines 1-36). An insulating layer (17) extends over at least a portion of the metallic layer (15). A damascene opening extends through at least a portion of the insulating layer (17) and exposes at least a portion of the metallic layer (15). The at least a portion of the metallic layer (15) exposed through the damascene opening comprises the at least partially reversed oxidation injury (column 5, lines 15-67) and column 6, lines 1-36) of the metallic layer (15). There is a metallic plug (26) in the damascene opening and it is in electrical connection with the metallic layer (15). Taguchi discloses that this damascene structure has the advantage of providing a

Art Unit: 2826

contact structure with low resistance and high reliability (column 7, lines 6-16). The removal of copper oxide in a contact structure in order to attain low resistance and high reliability are known goals in the art (column 1, lines 42-48, column 7, lines 6-16). In view of Taguchi, it would therefore be obvious to implement such a damascene structure in the electronic device of Chen constructed in view of Oashi in order to attain the benefit of a low resistance damascene structure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-25 were allowed in a previous Office action.

#### Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quinto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1920. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**KVQ** 

EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINER